Most Canadians say they will take the vaccine - One in three say the vaccine should not be mandatory.
Key Findings

1. **Taking Vaccines**
   Most Canadians say they will take the vaccine (68% definitely; 19% probably), with older Canadians (55 plus) more likely to say they will definitely take it (80%) than those 18 to 34 years old (58%).

2. **Mandatory Vaccines**
   Over six in ten Canadians agree (29%) or somewhat agree (34%) that it should be mandatory for Canadians to take a COVID-19 vaccine (21% disagree; 14% somewhat disagree).

3. **Will It Work?**
   Over eight in ten Canadians say they are confident (43%) or somewhat confident (42%) that if they get the COVID-19 vaccine it will work.

4. **Accessing Supply**
   Six in ten Canadians say Canada is doing a very good job (20%) or good job (40%) at accessing a supply of COVID-19 vaccines. Residents of Quebec (29%) are more likely to say Canada is doing a very good job than residents of Ontario (14%).

5. **Economic Recovery**
   Residents of Quebec are more likely to agree (18%) or somewhat agree (51%) that the COVID-19 vaccine will mean that the Canadian economy will recover in 2021 from the pandemic than residents of the Prairies (nine per cent agree; 45% somewhat agree).
Taking the COVID-19 vaccine when it becomes available

When the COVID-19 vaccine is available will you definitely take it, probably take it, probably not take it or definitely not take it?

Consistent across all regions, a strong majority of Canadians say they will definitely or probably take the COVID-19 vaccine when it is available. Older Canadians (55 plus) are more likely to say they will definitely take the vaccine (80%) than those 35 to 54 (62%) or 18 to 34 (58%).

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, December 27th to 30th, 2020, n=1048, accurate 3.0 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.
## Taking the COVID-19 vaccine when it becomes available by demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Atlantic (n=107)</th>
<th>Quebec (n=235)</th>
<th>Ontario (n=322)</th>
<th>Prairies (n=216)</th>
<th>BC (n=168)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Definitely/Probably will take it</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90.9%</td>
<td>88.2%</td>
<td>86.7%</td>
<td>82.7%</td>
<td>87.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>18-34 (n=226)</td>
<td>35-54 (n=401)</td>
<td>55 plus (n=421)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Definitely not/Probably will not take it</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.4%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>18-34 (n=226)</td>
<td>35-54 (n=401)</td>
<td>55 plus (n=421)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, December 27th to 30th, 2020, n=1048, accurate 3.0 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.
Confidence in the COVID-19 vaccine working

Are you confident, somewhat confident, somewhat not confident or not confident that if you take the COVID-19 vaccine that it will work?

A little over one in five Canadians are outright confident that the COVID-19 vaccine will work.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, December 27th to 30th, 2020, n=1048, accurate 3.0 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
Confidence in the COVID-19 vaccine working by demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Atlantic (n=107)</th>
<th>Quebec (n=235)</th>
<th>Ontario (n=322)</th>
<th>Prairies (n=216)</th>
<th>BC (n=168)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Confident/Somewhat confident</td>
<td>88.8%</td>
<td>85.2%</td>
<td>83.5%</td>
<td>83.4%</td>
<td>88.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (n=554)</td>
<td>85.2%</td>
<td>84.6%</td>
<td>79.9%</td>
<td>82.5%</td>
<td>90.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not confident/Somewhat not confident</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (n=494)</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, December 27th to 30th, 2020, n=1048, accurate 3.0 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.
Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree that it should be mandatory for Canadians to take a COVID-19 vaccine?

A noticeable portion of Canadians, over one in three, are against the COVID-19 vaccine being mandatory for Canadians.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, December 27th to 30th, 2020, n=1048, accurate 3.0 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.
Views on the COVID-19 vaccine being mandatory for Canadians by demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Atlantic (n=107)</th>
<th>Quebec (n=235)</th>
<th>Ontario (n=322)</th>
<th>Prairies (n=216)</th>
<th>BC (n=168)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agree/Somewhat agree</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>69.1%</td>
<td>66.3%</td>
<td>65.4%</td>
<td>49.5%</td>
<td>61.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=554)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=494)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disagree/Somewhat disagree</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td>47.3%</td>
<td>36.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=554)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=494)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, December 27th to 30th, 2020, n=1048, accurate 3.0 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.
Impression of the job Canada is doing accessing COVID-19 vaccines

Q: Do you think Canada is doing a very good, good, average, poor or very poor job at accessing a supply of COVID-19 vaccines?

Canadians are five times more likely to say Canada is doing a very good job compared to a very poor job at accessing a supply of COVID-19 vaccines.

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, December 27th to 30th, 2020, n=1048, accurate 3.0 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.
### Impression of the job Canada is doing accessing COVID-19 vaccines by demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Atlantic (n=107)</th>
<th>Quebec (n=235)</th>
<th>Ontario (n=322)</th>
<th>Prairies (n=216)</th>
<th>BC (n=168)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Very good/Good job</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67.0%</td>
<td>72.7%</td>
<td>53.4%</td>
<td>52.3%</td>
<td>62.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55.5%</td>
<td>64.0%</td>
<td>61.2%</td>
<td>59.2%</td>
<td>59.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Very poor/Poor job</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
<td>9.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, December 27th to 30th, 2020, n=1048, accurate 3.0 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.
Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree that the distribution of COVID-19 vaccines will mean that the Canadian economy will recover in 2021 from the pandemic?

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

Canadians are 2.5 times more likely to agree rather than disagree that the distribution of the COVID—19 vaccines will mean that the Canadian economy will recover in 2021 from the pandemic, but only one in four have a firm opinion.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, December 27th to 30th, 2020, n=1048, accurate 3.0 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.
Impression of vaccine impact on the Canadian economy by demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Atlantic (n=107)</th>
<th>Quebec (n=235)</th>
<th>Ontario (n=322)</th>
<th>Prairies (n=216)</th>
<th>BC (n=168)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree/Somewhat agree</td>
<td>66.2%</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
<td>58.4%</td>
<td>54.1%</td>
<td>62.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>65.3%</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>52.6%</td>
<td>59.3%</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-34</td>
<td>65.3%</td>
<td>57.1%</td>
<td>52.6%</td>
<td>59.3%</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
<td>39.2%</td>
<td>29.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-34</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td>32.9%</td>
<td>41.1%</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 plus</td>
<td>29.7%</td>
<td>32.9%</td>
<td>41.1%</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree that the distribution of COVID-19 vaccines will mean that the Canadian economy will recover in 2021 from the pandemic?

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, December 27th to 30th, 2020, n=1048, accurate 3.0 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.
Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,048 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between December 27th and 30th, 2020 as part of an omnibus survey. Participants were randomly recruited by telephone using live agents and administered a survey online. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The results were statistically checked and weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada.

Individuals randomly called using random digit dialling with a maximum of five call backs.

The margin of error for this survey is ±3.0 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

This study was commissioned by the Globe and Mail and the research was conducted by Nanos Research.

Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research sponsor</td>
<td>The Globe and Mail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population and Final Sample Size</td>
<td>1048 Randomly selected individuals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of Sample</td>
<td>Nanos Hybrid Probability Panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Sample</td>
<td>Probability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margin of Error</td>
<td>±3.0 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of Survey</td>
<td>RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online omnibus survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling Method Base</td>
<td>The sample included both land- and cell-lines RDD (Random Digit Dialed) across Canada.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographics (Captured)</td>
<td>Atlantic Canada, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, British Columbia; Men and Women; 18 years and older. Six digit postal code was used to validate geography.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fieldwork/Validation</td>
<td>Individuals were recruited using live interviews with live supervision to validate work, the research questions were administered online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Calls</td>
<td>Maximum of five call backs to those recruited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of Calls</td>
<td>Individuals recruited were called between 12:5-5:30 pm and 6:30-9:30pm local time for the respondent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Dates</td>
<td>December 27th and 30th, 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language of Survey</td>
<td>The survey was conducted in both English and French.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards</td>
<td>Nanos Research is a member of the Canadian Research Insights Council (CRIC) and confirms that this research fully complies with all CRIC Standards including the CRIC Public Opinion Research Standards and Disclosure Requirements. <a href="https://canadianresearchinsightscouncil.ca/standards/">https://canadianresearchinsightscouncil.ca/standards/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighting of Data</td>
<td>The results were weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to ensure a distribution across all regions of Canada. See tables for full weighting disclosure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening</td>
<td>Screening ensured potential respondents did not work in the market research industry, in the advertising industry, in the media or a political party prior to administering the survey to ensure the integrity of the data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excluded Demographics</td>
<td>Individuals younger than 18 years old; individuals without land or cell lines, and individuals without internet access could not participate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratification</td>
<td>By age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada. Smaller areas such as Atlantic Canada were marginally oversampled to allow for a minimum regional sample.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Response Rate</td>
<td>Fourteen percent, consistent with industry norms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Order</td>
<td>Question order in the preceding report reflects the order in which they appeared in the original questionnaire.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Content</td>
<td>Topics on the omnibus ahead of the survey content included: views on political issues, views on economic issues, views on real estate, views on COVID-19 vaccines, trade with China, and the US border closure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Wording</td>
<td>The questions in the preceding report are written exactly as they were asked to individuals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research/Data Collection Supplier</td>
<td>Nanos Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>Contact Nanos Research for more information or with any concerns or questions. <a href="http://www.nanos.co">http://www.nanos.co</a> Telephone:(613) 234-4666 ext. 237 Email: <a href="mailto:info@nanosresearch.com">info@nanosresearch.com</a>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As one of North America’s premier market and public opinion research firms, we put strategic intelligence into the hands of decision makers. The majority of our work is for private sector and public facing organizations and ranges from market studies, managing reputation through to leveraging data intelligence. Nanos Research offers a vertically integrated full service quantitative and qualitative research practice to attain the highest standards and the greatest control over the research process. www.nanos.co

This international joint venture between dimap and Nanos brings together top research and data experts from North American and Europe to deliver exceptional data intelligence to clients. The team offers data intelligence services ranging from demographic and sentiment microtargeting; consumer sentiment identification and decision conversion; and, data analytics and profiling for consumer persuasion. www.nanosdimap.com

NRM is an affiliate of Nanos Research and Rutherford McKay Associates. Our service offerings are based on decades of professional experience and extensive research and include public acceptance and engagement, communications audits, and narrative development. www.nrmpublicaffairs.com
### Question – When the COVID-19 vaccine is available will you definitely take it, probably take it, probably not take it or definitely not take it?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Total Unwgt N</th>
<th>Atlantis</th>
<th>Quebec</th>
<th>Ontario</th>
<th>Prairies</th>
<th>British Columbia</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>18 to 34</th>
<th>35 to 54</th>
<th>55 plus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wgt N</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Definitely take it**
  - %: 67.7, 67.6, 69.9, 67.8, 65.5, 66.9, 69.4, 66.2, 57.8, 62.1, 79.8
- **Probably take it**
  - %: 18.9, 23.3, 18.3, 18.9, 17.2, 20.1, 18.6, 19.3, 24.8, 21.1, 12.9
- **Probably not take it**
  - %: 4.2, 4.9, 3.6, 4.4, 4.3, 4.4, 3.1, 5.3, 7.2, 3.8, 2.5
- **Definitely not take it**
  - %: 5.0, 2.5, 5.4, 5.4, 6.6, 2.5, 6.0, 4.1, 5.4, 8.0, 2.1
- ** Unsure**
  - %: 4.1, 1.7, 2.8, 3.5, 6.4, 6.0, 3.0, 5.1, 4.8, 4.9, 2.7

### Question – Are you confident, somewhat confident, somewhat not confident or not confident that if you take the COVID-19 vaccine that it will work?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Total Unwgt N</th>
<th>Atlantis</th>
<th>Quebec</th>
<th>Ontario</th>
<th>Prairies</th>
<th>British Columbia</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>18 to 34</th>
<th>35 to 54</th>
<th>55 plus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wgt N</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Confident**
  - %: 43.0, 37.5, 46.3, 39.9, 46.4, 44.2, 49.3, 36.9, 34.9, 41.2, 50.3
- **Somewhat confident**
  - %: 41.9, 51.3, 38.9, 43.6, 37.0, 44.3, 35.9, 47.7, 45.0, 41.3, 40.3
- **Somewhat not confident**
  - %: 5.1, 7.2, 4.1, 5.8, 4.5, 4.7, 5.5, 4.7, 7.4, 4.7, 3.8
- **Not confident**
  - %: 5.7, 3.3, 5.0, 5.2, 8.8, 5.1, 5.3, 6.0, 7.3, 8.1, 2.4
- ** Unsure**
  - %: 4.3, 0.8, 5.8, 5.5, 3.3, 1.8, 3.8, 4.8, 5.4, 4.7, 3.2

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,048 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between December 27th and 30th, 2020. The margin of error for this survey is ±3.0 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.
**Question – Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree that it should be mandatory for Canadians to take a COVID-19 vaccine?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Canada 2020-12</td>
<td>Atlantic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Unwgt N</td>
<td>1048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wgt N</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat agree</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>33.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat disagree</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question – Do you think Canada is doing a very good, good, average, poor or very poor job at accessing a supply of COVID-19 vaccines?**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Canada 2020-12</td>
<td>Atlantic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Unwgt N</td>
<td>1048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wgt N</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very good job</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good job</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>39.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average job</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor job</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very poor job</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,048 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between December 27th and 30th, 2020. The margin of error for this survey is ±3.0 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.
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Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell- lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,048 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between December 27th and 30th, 2020. The margin of error for this survey is ±3.0 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question – Do you agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree or disagree that the distribution of COVID-19 vaccines will mean that the Canadian economy will recover in 2021 from the pandemic?</th>
<th>Canada 2020-12</th>
<th>Atlantic</th>
<th>Quebec</th>
<th>Ontario</th>
<th>Prairies</th>
<th>British Columbia</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>18 to 34</th>
<th>35 to 54</th>
<th>55 plus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Unwgt N</td>
<td>1048</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wgt N</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat agree</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>47.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat disagree</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>