Canadians prefer to decrease tensions and engage in diplomatic talks with China rather than increasing tensions by boycotting Chinese goods

National survey released June, 2019
Project 2019-1443C
A majority of Canadians say the best path forward to the current tensions between the Chinese and Canadian governments is for Canada to decrease tensions with China and engage in diplomatic talks. Just under half say they prefer to find a diplomatic solution to release the Huawei executive.

- **A majority of Canadians say the best path forward to the current tensions between the Chinese and Canadian governments is for Canada to decrease tensions with China and engage in diplomatic talks** – Asked what they think is the best path forward following the current tension between Chinese and Canadian governments, a majority of Canadians (65%) say Canada should decrease tensions with China and engage in diplomatic talks, while under two in ten say Canada should be patient and do nothing in the short term (16%) and fifteen per cent say Canada should increase tensions with China by putting a boycott on Chinese goods. Five per cent are unsure. Residents of Quebec are more likely to say Canada should decrease tensions with China and engage in diplomatic talks (73%), while residents from the Prairies were less likely to say so (57%).

- **Canadians more frequently say that the best path forward for Canada regarding the Huawei Executive arrest at is for Canada to find a diplomatic solution to release the Huawei Executive** – Asked what they think the best path forward is regarding the arrest of Huawei Executive at the request of the American government, Canadians most frequently say to find a diplomatic solution to release the Huawei Executive (45%), followed by allowing the Canadian extradition proceedings involving the Huawei executive regardless of pressure from China (43%). Less than one in ten say for Canada to be patient and do nothing in the short term (seven per cent). Six per cent are unsure. Residents of Quebec are more likely to say Canada should find a diplomatic solution to release the Huawei Executive (55%) compared to residents or British-Columbia (39%) and the Prairies (40%).

These observations are based on an RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between May 31st and June 4th, 2019 as part of an omnibus survey.

This study was commissioned by CTV News and the research was conducted by Nanos Research.
As you may have heard, there is currently tension between the Chinese and Canadian Governments. This includes Canada detaining a Huawei Executive at the request of the Americans and China arresting Canadians on charges they stole Chinese state secrets. China is also currently boycotting the purchase of Canadian canola. What do you think is the best path forward for Canada: [RANDOMIZE]

**QUESTION**

- For Canada to increase tensions with China by putting a boycott on Chinese goods
- For Canada to decrease tensions with China and engage in diplomatic talks
- For Canada to be patient and do nothing in the short term
- Unsure

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, May 31st to June 4th, 2019, n=1000, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.
Best path forward after the arrest of Huawei Executive

- Allow the Canadian extradition proceedings involving the Huawei Executive regardless of pressure from China
- Find a diplomatic solution to release the Huawei Executive
- For Canada to be patient and do nothing in the short term
- Unsure

**QUESTION** – Thinking of the arrest of Huawei Executive at the request of the American Government, what do you think is the best path forward for Canada? [RANDOMIZE]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Allow the extradition proceedings</th>
<th>Find a diplomatic solution to release the Huawei Executive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic (n=100)</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
<td>43.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec (n=250)</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
<td>54.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario (n=300)</td>
<td>46.6%</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairies (n=200)</td>
<td>47.2%</td>
<td>40.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia (n=150)</td>
<td>50.6%</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (n=519)</td>
<td>48.4%</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (n=481)</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
<td>49.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 34 (n=263)</td>
<td>47.2%</td>
<td>37.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 to 54 (n=385)</td>
<td>43.5%</td>
<td>42.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 plus (n=352)</td>
<td>38.4%</td>
<td>52.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Weighted to the true population proportion.
*Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

Source: Nanos Research, RDD dual frame hybrid telephone and online random survey, May 31st to June 4th, 2019, n=1000, accurate 3.1 percentage points plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.
METHODOLOGY

- DIAGNOSE ENV
- REVIEW RESEARCH
- TEST IDEAS
- VALIDATE
- WINNING CONDITIONS
- PATH FORWARD!
Nanos conducted an RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online random survey of 1,000 Canadians, 18 years of age or older, between May 31st and June 4th, 2019 as part of an omnibus survey. Participants were randomly recruited by telephone using live agents and administered a survey online. The sample included both land- and cell-lines across Canada. The results were statistically checked and weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada.

Individuals randomly called using random digit dialling with a maximum of five call backs.

The margin of error for this survey is ±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

This study was commissioned by CTV News and the research was conducted by Nanos Research.

Note: Charts may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

This is report three of four, the other reports are about recycling and waste, responding to leaked information and climate change.
## TECHNICAL NOTE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organization who commissioned the research</strong></td>
<td>CTV News</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final Sample Size</strong></td>
<td>1,000 Randomly selected individuals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Margin of Error</strong></td>
<td>±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mode of Survey</strong></td>
<td>RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) hybrid telephone and online omnibus survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sampling Method Base</strong></td>
<td>The sample included both land- and cell-lines RDD (Random Digit Dialed) across Canada.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographics (Captured)</strong></td>
<td>Atlantic Canada, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, British Columbia; Men and Women; 18 years and older. Six digit postal code was used to validate geography.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fieldwork/Validation</strong></td>
<td>Individuals were recruited using live interviews with live supervision to validate work, the research questions were administered online.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of Calls</strong></td>
<td>Maximum of five call backs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time of Calls</strong></td>
<td>Individuals were called between 12-5:30 pm and 6:30-9:30 pm local time for the respondent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Field Dates</strong></td>
<td>May 31st to June 4th, 2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Language of Survey</strong></td>
<td>The survey was conducted in both English and French.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Standards</strong></td>
<td>This report exceeds the standards set forth by CRIC, ESOMAR and AAPOR.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weighting of Data</strong></td>
<td>The results were weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to ensure a distribution across all regions of Canada. See tables for full weighting disclosure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Screening</strong></td>
<td>Screening ensured potential respondents did not work in the market research industry, in the advertising industry, in the media or a political party prior to administering the survey to ensure the integrity of the data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Excluded Demographics</strong></td>
<td>Individuals younger than 18 years old; individuals without land or cell lines, and individuals without internet access could not participate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stratification</strong></td>
<td>By age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada. Smaller areas such as Atlantic Canada were marginally oversampled to allow for a minimum regional sample.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Estimated Response Rate</strong></td>
<td>11 percent, consistent with industry norms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question Order</strong></td>
<td>Question order in the preceding report reflects the order in which they appeared in the original questionnaire. This is report three of four, the other reports are about recycling and waste, responding to leaked information and climate change.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question Content</strong></td>
<td>This was module one of an omnibus survey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question Wording</strong></td>
<td>The questions in the preceding report are written exactly as they were asked to individuals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Survey Company</strong></td>
<td>Nanos Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact</strong></td>
<td>Contact Nanos Research for more information or with any concerns or questions. <a href="http://www.nanos.co">http://www.nanos.co</a> Telephone:(613) 234-4666 ext. 237 Email: <a href="mailto:info@nanosresearch.com">info@nanosresearch.com</a>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As one of North America’s premier market and public opinion research firms, we put strategic intelligence into the hands of decision makers. The majority of our work is for private sector and public facing organizations and ranges from market studies, managing reputation through to leveraging data intelligence. Nanos Research offers a vertically integrated full service quantitative and qualitative research practice to attain the highest standards and the greatest control over the research process. www.nanos.co

A public relations consultancy for executives and organizations with images to create, issues to manage, relationships to build, and reputations to protect in a digitally disrupted era. We serve senior leaders with PR counsel that is both strategic and social, informed by a deep understanding of analytics, content, communities, media, sustainability and technology. www.signaleadership.com

This international joint venture between dimap and Nanos brings together top research and data experts from North American and Europe to deliver exceptional data intelligence to clients. The team offers data intelligence services ranging from demographic and sentiment microtargeting; consumer sentiment identification and decision conversion; and, data analytics and profiling for consumer persuasion. www.nanosdimap.com

NRM is an affiliate of Nanos Research and Rutherford McKay Associates. Our service offerings are based on decades of professional experience and extensive research and include public acceptance and engagement, communications audits, and narrative development. www.nrmpublicaffairs.com
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## Question - Thinking of the arrest of Huawei Executive at the request of the American Government, what do you think is the best path forward for Canada?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age 18 to 34</th>
<th>Age 34 to 54</th>
<th>Age 55 plus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Unwgt N</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wgt N</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allow the Canadian extradition proceedings involving the Huawei Executive regardless of pressure from China</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Find a diplomatic solution to release the Huawei Executive</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>54.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For Canada to be patient and do nothing in the short term</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>