Conservatives 35, Liberals 35, NDP 17, Green 8, People’s 1 in latest Nanos federal tracking

Nanos Weekly Tracking, ending March 29, 2019
(released April 2, 2019 - 6 am Eastern)
Play with the data at the interactive Nanos portal at http://www.nanos.co/dataportal/ > ballot tracking > issue tracking > economic tracking > cut the data by region and demographic and see the trend lines

Ballot – The latest Nanos federal ballot tracking has the Conservatives at 35.1 per cent, followed by the Liberals at 34.6 per cent, the NDP at 16.6 percent, the BQ at 4.4 per cent, the Greens at 8.1 per cent and the People’s Party of Canada (PPC) at 0.5 per cent.

Accessible Voters – Asked whether they would consider voting for each of the federal parties, 49.6 per cent of Canadians say they would consider voting Liberal while 45.7 per cent would consider voting Conservative. Four in ten (40.1%) would consider voting NDP, 34.2 per cent would consider voting Green, 10.1 per cent would consider voting for the People’s Party and 34.5 per cent would consider voting for the BQ.

Preferred Prime Minister – Nanos tracking has Trudeau as the preferred choice as PM at 31.1 per cent of Canadians followed by Scheer (26.7%), Singh (7.8%), May (7.6%) and Bernier (2.7%). Twenty three per cent of Canadians were unsure whom they preferred.

Qualities of a Good Political Leader – Few than half of Canadians (45.5%) believe Trudeau has the qualities of a good political leader while 41.6 per cent believe Scheer has the qualities of a good political leader. Three in ten (32.2%) say Jagmeet Singh has the qualities of a good political leader, while 35.8 per cent believe the same about May. One in six (18.1%) believe Bernier has the qualities of a good political leader 27.9 per cent said Blanchet has the qualities of a good political leader (QC only).

Nanos Party Power Index – The Nanos Index which is a composite of a series of measures including ballot and leadership impressions has the Liberals with 52.4 points, followed by the Conservatives 51.1 points, the NDP 42.4 points, the Greens 37.8 points, the People’s Party 27.2 points and the BQ 32.3 points (QC only).

Visit the live Nanos data portal where you can dynamically chart ballot, preferred PM and Nanos Index numbers by region, gender and age. The methodology for the weekly tracking is posted here. PDFs of the polling reports are on the Nanos website.

Contact: Nik Nanos, FMRIA
Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237
nik@nanos.co
Twitter: @niknanos
A national dual-frame (land+cell) random telephone survey is conducted weekly by Nanos Research using live agents.

The weekly tracking figures are based on a four-week rolling sample comprised of 1,000 interviews. To update the tracking a new week of 250 interviews is added and the oldest week dropped. The margin of error for a survey of 1,000 respondents is ±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

Contact: Nik Nanos
(613) 234-4666 x 237
nnanos@nanosresearch.com
Twitter: @niknanos

Category order based on number of seats in the House of Commons

Nanos Tracking Data Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot</th>
<th>This week</th>
<th>Last week</th>
<th>Oct 18 (Election Call)</th>
<th>4 Weeks Ago</th>
<th>3 Months Ago</th>
<th>1 Year Ago</th>
<th>12 Month High</th>
<th>12 Month Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liberal</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
<td>39.1%</td>
<td>35.7%</td>
<td>36.8%</td>
<td>39.1%</td>
<td>41.1%</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservative</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
<td>34.6%</td>
<td>30.5%</td>
<td>33.6%</td>
<td>34.4%</td>
<td>33.7%</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDP</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>15.0%</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>22.0%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloc</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People's</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preferred Prime Minister</th>
<th>This week</th>
<th>Last week</th>
<th>Oct 18 2015</th>
<th>4 Weeks Ago</th>
<th>3 Months Ago</th>
<th>1 Year Ago</th>
<th>12 Month High</th>
<th>12 Month Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trudeau</td>
<td>31.1%</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>35.5%</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
<td>39.1%</td>
<td>39.0%</td>
<td>43.1%</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheer</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
<td>23.7%</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singh</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blanchet</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernier</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsure</td>
<td>23.0%</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Canada Party Power Index</th>
<th>This week</th>
<th>Last week</th>
<th>Oct 18 2015</th>
<th>4 Weeks Ago</th>
<th>3 Months Ago</th>
<th>1 Year Ago</th>
<th>12 Month High</th>
<th>12 Month Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liberal</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservative</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>50.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDP</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloc</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People's</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A national dual-frame (land+cell) random telephone survey is conducted weekly by Nanos Research using live agents. The weekly tracking figures are based on a four-week rolling sample comprised of 1,000 interviews. To update the tracking a new week of 250 interviews is added and the oldest week dropped. The margin of error for a survey of 1,000 respondents is ±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.

Contact: Nik Nanos  
(613) 234-466 x 237  
nnanos@nanosresearch.com  
Twitter: @niknanos

Category order based on number of seats in the House of Commons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Party Consider</th>
<th>This week</th>
<th>Last week</th>
<th>Oct 18 2015</th>
<th>4 Weeks Ago</th>
<th>3 Months Ago</th>
<th>1 Year Ago</th>
<th>12 Month High</th>
<th>12 Month Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liberal</td>
<td>49.6%</td>
<td>49.1%</td>
<td>54.7%</td>
<td>49.4%</td>
<td>50.4%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>53.6%</td>
<td>44.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservative</td>
<td>45.7%</td>
<td>45.7%</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
<td>46.3%</td>
<td>46.1%</td>
<td>46.5%</td>
<td>52.7%</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDP</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
<td>42.3%</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
<td>37.1%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>44.1%</td>
<td>32.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloc</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
<td>30.4%</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
<td>23.6%</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>34.2%</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
<td>22.7%</td>
<td>30.1%</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
<td>27.7%</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People's</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Has Qualities of a Good Political Leader</th>
<th>This week</th>
<th>Last week</th>
<th>Oct 18 2015</th>
<th>4 Weeks Ago</th>
<th>3 Months Ago</th>
<th>1 Year Ago</th>
<th>12 Month High</th>
<th>12 Month Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trudeau</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
<td>46.8%</td>
<td>61.3%</td>
<td>52.5%</td>
<td>56.4%</td>
<td>55.1%</td>
<td>60.2%</td>
<td>45.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheer</td>
<td>41.6%</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
<td>39.1%</td>
<td>42.3%</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
<td>45.6%</td>
<td>35.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singh</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>57.2%</td>
<td>27.1%</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blanchet</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
<td>51.2%</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
<td>17.9%</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>36.3%</td>
<td>38.3%</td>
<td>36.3%</td>
<td>38.3%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bernier</td>
<td>18.1%</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
<td>14.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Question:** For those parties you would consider voting for federally, could you please rank your top two current local preferences?
Question: For those parties you would consider voting for federally, could you please rank your top two current local preferences?

Weekly Tracking – First Ranked Choice – 12 Month Trend
(Four week rolling average ending March 29, 2019, n=841)
**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Liberal Party

Contact: Nik Nanos
Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237
Washington DC: (202) 697-9924
nnanos@nanosresearch.com

---

**National – Weekly Tracking**
*(Ending March 29, 2019, n=1,000)*

Would consider voting Liberal: 50%
Would not consider voting Liberal: 39%
Unsure: 12%

Graph showing trends from 2013-08-17 to 2018-08-17.
Question: For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it.

Conservative Party

Contact: Nik Nanos
Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237
Washington DC: (202) 697-9924
nnanos@nanosresearch.com
Question: For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] NDP

Contact: Nik Nanos
Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237
Washington DC: (202) 697-9924
nnanos@nanosresearch.com

National – Weekly Tracking
(Ending March 29, 2019, n=1,000)

Would consider voting NDP
Would not consider voting NDP
Unsure

2013-08-10 2014-08-10 2015-08-10 2016-08-10 2017-08-10 2018-08-10

www.nanos.co
**Question:** For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] Bloc Québécois

**Contact:** Nik Nanos  
Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237  
Washington DC: (202) 697-9924  
nmnanos@nanosresearch.com

---

**Quebec only – Weekly Tracking**  
(Ending March 29, 2019, 2017, n=248)

- **Would consider voting BQ:** 57%  
- **Would not consider voting BQ:** 35%  
- **Unsure:** 9%
Consider Green

Question: For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it.

[RANDOMIZE] Green Party

Contact: Nik Nanos
Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237
Washington DC: (202) 697-9924
nnanos@nanosresearch.com

National – Weekly Tracking
(Ending March 29, 2019, n=1,000)

Would consider voting Green
Would not consider voting Green
Unsure

2013-08-10 2014-08-10 2015-08-10 2016-08-10 2017-08-10 2018-08-10

Would consider voting Green
Would not consider voting Green
Unsure
Question: For each of the following federal political parties, please tell me if you would consider or not consider voting for it. [RANDOMIZE] People’s Party of Canada

Contact: Nik Nanos
Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237
Washington DC: (202) 697-9924
nnanos@nanosresearch.com
Tracking on the Federal Political Leaders

Nanos Weekly Tracking

Winning solutions for decision-makers

NANOS
**Question:** Of the current federal political party leaders, could you please rank your top two current local preferences for Prime Minister? [ROTATE PARTY LEADERS]

**Contact:** Nik Nanos
Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237
Washington DC: (202) 697-9924
nnanos@nanosresearch.com

---

**National – Weekly Tracking – Preferred Prime Minister First Ranked Choice (Ending March 29, 2019, n=1,000)**

- **Justin Trudeau (Liberal)**
- **Andrew Scheer (Conservative)**
- **Jagmeet Singh (NDP)**
- **Blanchet (Bloc)**
- **Elizabeth May (Green)**
- **Maxime Bernier (People’s)**
- **Unsure**
Question: For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Justin Trudeau

Contact: Nik Nanos
Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237
Washington DC: (202) 697-9924
nnanos@nanosresearch.com

National – Weekly Tracking – Qualities of a Good Political Leader (Ending March 29, 2019, n=1,000)
Question: For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Andrew Scheer.

Contact: Nik Nanos
Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237
Washington DC: (202) 697-9924
nnanos@nanosresearch.com
For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader?

Question: For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Jagmeet Singh

Contact: Nik Nanos
Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237
Washington DC: (202) 697-9924
nnanos@nanosresearch.com

National – Weekly Tracking– Qualities of a Good Political Leader
(Ending March 29, 2019, n=1,000)
Question: For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Mario Beaulieu (interim leader)/Yves-François Blanchet (newly elected leader).

Contact: Nik Nanos
Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237
Washington DC: (202) 697-9924
nnanos@nanosresearch.com

Quebec only – Weekly Tracking – Qualities of a Good Political Leader (Ending March 29, 2019, n=248)
Question: For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Elizabeth May

Contact: Nik Nanos
Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237
Washington DC: (202) 697-9924
nnanos@nanosresearch.com

National – Weekly Tracking – Qualities of a Good Political Leader
(Ending March 29, 2019, n=1,000)
Question: For each of the following federal political party leaders, do you think they have or do not have the qualities to be a good political leader? [RANDOMIZE] Maxime Bernier

Contact: Nik Nanos
Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237
Washington DC: (202) 697-9924
nnanos@nanosresearch.com

National – Weekly Tracking – Qualities of a Good Political Leader
(Ending March 29, 2019, n=1,000)
Nanos Party Power Index

Nanos Weekly Tracking

Applying advanced machine learning algorithms
The Nanos Party Power Index Tracking for Canada is based on a composite of the following public opinion measures: the national ballot, which party individuals would consider voting for, the top two choices for Prime Minister, and whether each party leader has good leadership qualities.

Contact: Nik Nanos
Ottawa: (613) 234-4666 x 237
Washington DC: (202) 697-9924
nnanos@nanosresearch.com
Methodology

www.nanos.co
Methodology

The Weekly Nanos Tracking is produced by the Nanos Research Corporation, headquartered in Canada, which operates in Canada and the United States. The data is based on a dual frame (land + cell-lines) random telephone interviews with 1,000 Canadians using a four week rolling average of 250 respondents each week, 18 years of age and over. The random sample of 1,000 respondents may be weighted by age and gender using the latest census information for Canada. The interviews are compiled into a four week rolling average of 1,000 interviews, where each week the oldest group of 250 interviews is dropped and a new group of 250 interviews is added.

A random telephone survey of 1,000 Canadians is accurate ±3.1 percentage points, plus or minus, 19 times out of 20.

Since voters are not actually able to make a choice between elections, it is interesting to think about party strength and support more broadly. The Nanos Party Power Index fills this need by incorporating more information than just current vote preference.

The Nanos Party Power Index is a weekly composite measurement of federal party brands based on four questions about the federal parties and their leadership. The questions include:

• a ballot question that captures the 1\textsuperscript{st} and 2\textsuperscript{nd} vote preferences;
• a measure of whether the respondent would consider voting for the party;
• the 1\textsuperscript{st} and 2\textsuperscript{nd} preferences for Prime Minister of the current federal leaders; and,
• whether the respondent believes each current leader has the quality to be a good leader.

The views of 1,000 respondents are compiled into a diffusion brand index for each party that goes from 0 to 100, where 0 means that the party has no brand strength and 100 means it has maximum brand strength. A score above 50 is an indication of brand strength for the party and its leader at this time. The important factors in this weekly tracking include the direction of the brand strength or weakness and also the brand strength of one federal party relative to another.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organization who commissioned</td>
<td>Nanos Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Sample Size</td>
<td>1,000 Randomly selected individuals, four week rolling average of 250 interviews a week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margin of Error</td>
<td>±3.1 percentage points, 19 times out of 20.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of Survey</td>
<td>RDD dual frame (land- and cell-lines) telephone survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling Method Base</td>
<td>The sample included both land- and cell-lines RDD (Random Digit Dialed) across Canada.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographics (Captured)</td>
<td>Atlantic Canada, Quebec, Ontario, Prairies, British Columbia; Men and Women; 18 years and older. Six digit postal code was used to validate geography.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographics (Other)</td>
<td>Age, gender, education, income</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fieldwork/Validation</td>
<td>Live interviews with live supervision to validate work as per the MRIA Code of Conduct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Calls/</td>
<td>Maximum of five call backs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of Calls</td>
<td>Local time 5:00-9:00 pm, on weekends 12:00-6:00 pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Dates</td>
<td>Four week period March 29, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Language of Survey</td>
<td>The survey was conducted in both English and French.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards</td>
<td>This report meets the standards set forth by the MRIA which can be found here: <a href="https://mria-arim.ca/polling">https://mria-arim.ca/polling</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighting of Data</td>
<td>The results were weighted by age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to ensure a distribution across all regions of Canada. See tables for full weighting disclosure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Screening</td>
<td>Screening ensured potential respondents did not work in the market research industry, the advertising industry, in the media or a political party prior to administering the survey to ensure the integrity of the data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excluded Demographics</td>
<td>Individuals younger than 18 years old; individuals without land or cell lines could not participate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratification</td>
<td>By age and gender using the latest Census information (2016) and the sample is geographically stratified to be representative of Canada. Smaller areas such as Atlantic Canada were marginally oversampled to allow for a minimum regional sample.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Response Rate</td>
<td>Nine percent, consistent with industry norms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Order</td>
<td>Question order in the preceding report was asked in the following order – party consideration, unprompted vote preferences, preferred Prime Minister and qualities of a good political leader.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Content</td>
<td>This was part of a weekly tracking survey. The preceding module included questions about national issues of concern and ballot preferences and economic confidence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Wording</td>
<td>The wording of questions is as presented in the report with a randomization of the political leaders for the question involving leader qualities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey Company</td>
<td>Nanos Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>Contact Nanos Research for more information or with any concerns or questions. <a href="http://www.nanosresearch.com">http://www.nanosresearch.com</a> Telephone:(613) 234-4666 ext. 237 – Toll Free: (888) 737-5505 ext. 223. Email: <a href="mailto:info@nanosresearch.com">info@nanosresearch.com</a>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Nanos is one of North America’s most trusted research and strategy organizations. Our team of professionals is regularly called upon by senior executives to deliver superior intelligence and market advantage whether it be helping to chart a path forward, managing a reputation or brand risk or understanding the trends that drive success. Services range from traditional telephone surveys, through to elite in-depth interviews, online research and focus groups. Nanos clients range from Fortune 500 companies through to leading advocacy groups interested in understanding and shaping the public landscape. Whether it is understanding your brand or reputation, customer needs and satisfaction, engaging employees or testing new ads or products, Nanos provides insight you can trust.
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